

This tool was developed by Justice Access Point (JAP) based on the AU Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression and Access to Information in Africa (2019), the Constitution of the Republic of Uganda (Art. 29), the Human Rights (Enforcement) Act, 2019, and the ICCPR (Article 19) to monitor freedom of expression in Uganda.

SECTION 1: DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE RESPONDENT

Indicator	Options	
Gender	☐ Male ☐ Female ☐ Other	
Age Group	□ 18–35 □ 36–55 □ 56+	
Role in the Event	☐ Journalist ☐ Activist ☐ Academic ☐ Government Official ☐ Law Enforcement ☐ Citizen ☐ Other (specify):	
Belongs to a marginalized group?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Prefer not to say	
If yes, specify group	☐ Women ☐ Refugee/IDP ☐ Ethnic minority ☐ Religious minority ☐ LGBTQ+ ☐ Person with disability ☐ Other:	
SECTION 2: EXPR	ESSION EVENT DETAILS	
İ	Options	
Date of Event	/	
Time	Start: End:	
Location	District: Sub-county/Division: Venue:	
Type of Expression	☐ Media publication ☐ Online post ☐ Public speech ☐ Artistic performance ☐ Protest signage ☐ Other (specify):	
Medium Used	☐ Print ☐ Radio ☐ TV ☐ Online ☐ Physical ☐ Other	
Purpose of Expression	□ Political □ Religious □ Social □ Cultural □ Satirical □ Other	
Nature of Expression	□ Planned □ Spontaneous	
Prior Notification (if required)	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable	
Was the expression linked to other rights (e.g. assembly, privacy)?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not sure	



**SECTION 3: STATE RESPONSE TO EXPRESSION** Indicator **Options** Was the expression disrupted ☐ Yes ☐ No or censored? ☐ Arrest ☐ Threat ☐ Content removal ☐ Platform blocking Nature of Disruption ☐ Surveillance ☐ Other (specify): \_\_ Agency Involved □ UPF □ UCC □ ISO □ Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Penal Code ☐ Computer Misuse Act ☐ Anti-Terrorism Legal Basis Cited Act  $\square$  Other (specify): Was a warning issued before ☐ Yes ☐ No action? Was the response proportionate and justified ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially under law? Did the restriction meet the three-part test (lawful, ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not assessed legitimate aim, necessary/proportionate)? **SECTION 4: IMPACT ON RIGHTS AND PARTICIPANTS** Indicator **Options**  $\square$  Yes  $\square$  No Were individuals arrested or detained?  $\square$  Yes  $\square$  No Were individuals injured or harmed? Were journalists or media houses targeted? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No Were human rights defenders involved or affected? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable Was journalistic source protection violated? Public Reaction ☐ Hostile ☐ Mixed ☐ Supportive  $\square$  Yes  $\square$  No Did the expression lead to legal proceedings?  $\square$  Yes  $\square$  No Was legal aid or remedy sought? Was an oversight mechanism accessed (e.g. UHRC, ☐ Yes ☐ No

courts)?



#### **SECTION 5: ASSESSMENT OF FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION**

**Instructions**: Rate each statement using the scale below:

1 = Strongly Disagree | 2 = Disagree | 3 = Neutral | 4 = Agree | 5 = Strongly Agree

Statement   1 2 3 4 5   Individuals expressed their views without fear of retaliation or harm.  Expression occurred without intimidation by state or non-state actors.  Participants felt safe engaging in public discourse or critique.  B. Media and Journalistic Freedoms  Statement   1 2 3 4 5    Journalists and media outlets operated without undue interference.  Media content was not censored or blocked arbitrarily.  Journalists were not targeted or pressured to reveal sources.  C. Digital Expression and Access  Statement   1 2 3 4 5    Digital platforms were accessible and unrestricted.  Online expression was not suppressed through surveillance or takedowns.  Internet access was not disrupted or throttled.  D. Artistic and Symbolic Expression  Statement   1 2 3 4 5    Cultural or religious expression was not penalized.  Cultural or religious expression was respected.  E. Legal and Institutional Protections  Statement   1 2 3 4 5    Legal protections for expression were upheld.  Law enforcement respected peaceful expression.  Public officials tolerated criticism without reprisals.  Oversight mechanisms were accessible and effective.  Remedies were available for rights violations.	A. Safety and Freedom to Express				
Expression occurred without intimidation by state or non-state actors.  Participants felt safe engaging in public discourse or critique.  B. Media and Journalistic Freedoms  Statement  Journalists and media outlets operated without undue interference.  Media content was not censored or blocked arbitrarily.  Journalists were not targeted or pressured to reveal sources.  C. Digital Expression and Access  Statement  Doigital platforms were accessible and unrestricted.  Online expression was not suppressed through surveillance or takedowns.  Internet access was not disrupted or throttled.  D. Artistic and Symbolic Expression  Statement  1 2 3 4 5  Artistic or symbolic expression was not penalized.  Cultural or religious expression was respected.  E. Legal and Institutional Protections  Statement  1 2 3 4 5  Legal protections for expression were upheld.  Law enforcement respected peaceful expression.  Public officials tolerated criticism without reprisals.  Oversight mechanisms were accessible and effective.  Remedies were available for rights violations.	Statement	1 2 3 4 5			
Participants felt safe engaging in public discourse or critique.  B. Media and Journalistic Freedoms  Statement  Journalists and media outlets operated without undue interference.  Media content was not censored or blocked arbitrarily.  Journalists were not targeted or pressured to reveal sources.  C. Digital Expression and Access  Statement  Digital platforms were accessible and unrestricted.  Online expression was not suppressed through surveillance or takedowns.  Internet access was not disrupted or throttled.  D. Artistic and Symbolic Expression  Statement  1 2 3 4 5  Artistic or symbolic expression was not penalized.  Cultural or religious expression was respected.  E. Legal and Institutional Protections  Statement  1 2 3 4 5  Legal protections for expression were upheld.  Law enforcement respected peaceful expression.  Public officials tolerated criticism without reprisals.  Oversight mechanisms were accessible and effective.  Remedies were available for rights violations.	Individuals expressed their views without fear of retaliation or harm.				
B. Media and Journalistic Freedoms  Statement  Journalists and media outlets operated without undue interference.  Media content was not censored or blocked arbitrarily.  Journalists were not targeted or pressured to reveal sources.  C. Digital Expression and Access  Statement  Digital platforms were accessible and unrestricted.  Online expression was not suppressed through surveillance or takedowns.  Internet access was not disrupted or throttled.  D. Artistic and Symbolic Expression  Statement  1 2 3 4 5  Artistic or symbolic expression was not penalized.  Cultural or religious expression was respected.  E. Legal and Institutional Protections  Statement  1 2 3 4 5  Legal protections for expression were upheld.  Law enforcement respected peaceful expression.  Public officials tolerated criticism without reprisals.  Oversight mechanisms were accessible and effective.  Remedies were available for rights violations.	Expression occurred without intimidation by state or non-state actors.				
Statement	Participants felt safe engaging in public discourse or critique.				
Journalists and media outlets operated without undue interference.  Media content was not censored or blocked arbitrarily.  Journalists were not targeted or pressured to reveal sources.  C. Digital Expression and Access  Statement  Digital platforms were accessible and unrestricted.  Online expression was not suppressed through surveillance or takedowns.  Internet access was not disrupted or throttled.  D. Artistic and Symbolic Expression  Statement  1 2 3 4 5  Artistic or symbolic expression was not penalized.  Cultural or religious expression was respected.  E. Legal and Institutional Protections  Statement  1 2 3 4 5  Legal protections for expression were upheld.  Law enforcement respected peaceful expression.  Public officials tolerated criticism without reprisals.  Oversight mechanisms were accessible and effective.  Remedies were available for rights violations.	B. Media and Journalistic Freedoms				
Media content was not censored or blocked arbitrarily.  Journalists were not targeted or pressured to reveal sources.  C. Digital Expression and Access  Statement  Digital platforms were accessible and unrestricted.  Online expression was not suppressed through surveillance or takedowns.  Internet access was not disrupted or throttled.  D. Artistic and Symbolic Expression  Statement  1 2 3 4 5  Artistic or symbolic expression was not penalized.  Cultural or religious expression was respected.  E. Legal and Institutional Protections  Statement  1 2 3 4 5  Legal protections for expression were upheld.  Law enforcement respected peaceful expression.  Public officials tolerated criticism without reprisals.  Oversight mechanisms were accessible and effective.  Remedies were available for rights violations.	Statement	1 2 3 4 5			
Journalists were not targeted or pressured to reveal sources.  C. Digital Expression and Access  Statement  Digital platforms were accessible and unrestricted. Online expression was not suppressed through surveillance or takedowns. Internet access was not disrupted or throttled.  D. Artistic and Symbolic Expression  Statement  Artistic or symbolic expression was not penalized. Cultural or religious expression was respected.  E. Legal and Institutional Protections  Statement  1 2 3 4 5  Legal protections for expression were upheld.  Law enforcement respected peaceful expression.  Public officials tolerated criticism without reprisals.  Oversight mechanisms were accessible and effective.  Remedies were available for rights violations.	Journalists and media outlets operated without undue interference.				
C. Digital Expression and Access  Statement  Digital platforms were accessible and unrestricted.  Online expression was not suppressed through surveillance or takedowns.  Internet access was not disrupted or throttled.  D. Artistic and Symbolic Expression  Statement  Artistic or symbolic expression was not penalized.  Cultural or religious expression was respected.  E. Legal and Institutional Protections  Statement  1 2 3 4 5  Legal protections for expression were upheld.  Law enforcement respected peaceful expression.  Public officials tolerated criticism without reprisals.  Oversight mechanisms were accessible and effective.  Remedies were available for rights violations.	Media content was not censored or blocked arbitrarily.				
Statement  Digital platforms were accessible and unrestricted.  Online expression was not suppressed through surveillance or takedowns.  Internet access was not disrupted or throttled.  D. Artistic and Symbolic Expression  Statement  Artistic or symbolic expression was not penalized.  Cultural or religious expression was respected.  E. Legal and Institutional Protections  Statement  1 2 3 4 5  Legal protections for expression were upheld.  Law enforcement respected peaceful expression.  Public officials tolerated criticism without reprisals.  Oversight mechanisms were accessible and effective.  Remedies were available for rights violations.	Journalists were not targeted or pressured to reveal sources.	00000			
Digital platforms were accessible and unrestricted.  Online expression was not suppressed through surveillance or takedowns.  Internet access was not disrupted or throttled.  D. Artistic and Symbolic Expression  Statement  Artistic or symbolic expression was not penalized.  Cultural or religious expression was respected.  E. Legal and Institutional Protections  Statement  Legal protections for expression were upheld.  Law enforcement respected peaceful expression.  Public officials tolerated criticism without reprisals.  Oversight mechanisms were accessible and effective.  Remedies were available for rights violations.	C. Digital Expression and Access				
Online expression was not suppressed through surveillance or takedowns.  Internet access was not disrupted or throttled.  D. Artistic and Symbolic Expression  Statement  Artistic or symbolic expression was not penalized. Cultural or religious expression was respected.  E. Legal and Institutional Protections  Statement  1 2 3 4 5  Legal protections for expression were upheld.  Law enforcement respected peaceful expression. Public officials tolerated criticism without reprisals. Oversight mechanisms were accessible and effective.  Remedies were available for rights violations.	Statement	1 2 3 4 5			
takedowns.  Internet access was not disrupted or throttled.  D. Artistic and Symbolic Expression  Statement  Artistic or symbolic expression was not penalized. Cultural or religious expression was respected.  E. Legal and Institutional Protections  Statement  1 2 3 4 5  Legal protections for expression were upheld. Law enforcement respected peaceful expression. Public officials tolerated criticism without reprisals. Oversight mechanisms were accessible and effective. Remedies were available for rights violations.	Digital platforms were accessible and unrestricted.				
Internet access was not disrupted or throttled.  D. Artistic and Symbolic Expression  Statement  Artistic or symbolic expression was not penalized. Cultural or religious expression was respected.  E. Legal and Institutional Protections  Statement  Legal protections for expression were upheld.  Law enforcement respected peaceful expression.  Public officials tolerated criticism without reprisals.  Oversight mechanisms were accessible and effective.  Remedies were available for rights violations.					
Statement Artistic or symbolic expression was not penalized. Cultural or religious expression was respected.  E. Legal and Institutional Protections  Statement Legal protections for expression were upheld. Law enforcement respected peaceful expression. Public officials tolerated criticism without reprisals. Oversight mechanisms were accessible and effective. Remedies were available for rights violations.	Internet access was not disrupted or throttled.				
Artistic or symbolic expression was not penalized.  Cultural or religious expression was respected.  E. Legal and Institutional Protections  Statement  Legal protections for expression were upheld.  Law enforcement respected peaceful expression.  Public officials tolerated criticism without reprisals.  Oversight mechanisms were accessible and effective.  Remedies were available for rights violations.	D. Artistic and Symbolic Expression				
Cultural or religious expression was respected.  E. Legal and Institutional Protections  Statement  Legal protections for expression were upheld.  Law enforcement respected peaceful expression.  Public officials tolerated criticism without reprisals.  Oversight mechanisms were accessible and effective.  Remedies were available for rights violations.	Statement	1 2 3 4 5			
E. Legal and Institutional Protections  Statement  Legal protections for expression were upheld.  Law enforcement respected peaceful expression.  Public officials tolerated criticism without reprisals.  Oversight mechanisms were accessible and effective.  Remedies were available for rights violations.	Artistic or symbolic expression was not penalized.				
Statement  Legal protections for expression were upheld.  Law enforcement respected peaceful expression.  Public officials tolerated criticism without reprisals.  Oversight mechanisms were accessible and effective.  Remedies were available for rights violations.	Cultural or religious expression was respected.				
Legal protections for expression were upheld.  Law enforcement respected peaceful expression.  Public officials tolerated criticism without reprisals.  Oversight mechanisms were accessible and effective.  Remedies were available for rights violations.	E. Legal and Institutional Protections				
Law enforcement respected peaceful expression.  Public officials tolerated criticism without reprisals.  Oversight mechanisms were accessible and effective.  Remedies were available for rights violations.	Statement	1 2 3 4 5			
Public officials tolerated criticism without reprisals.  Oversight mechanisms were accessible and effective.  Remedies were available for rights violations.	Legal protections for expression were upheld.				
Oversight mechanisms were accessible and effective.  Remedies were available for rights violations.					
Remedies were available for rights violations.	-				
	Remedies were available for rights violations.				



#### **SECTION 6: OVERALL ASSESSMENT**

Indicator	Scale
The expression was conducted in accordance with Ugandan law	□1□2□3□4□5
The expression was protected under AU and ICCPR principles	
The state response respected human rights standards  Overall environment for freedom of expression	

•	Narrative Summary:
•	Quotes or Testimonies:
•	Visual Evidence (if applicable): ☐ Photo ☐ Screenshot ☐ Link
•	Follow-up Actions Taken: $\square$ Legal aid $\square$ Advocacy $\square$ Reporting $\square$ Other