

TOOL FOR MONITORING/ OBSERVING POLICE DISCIPLINARY COURT TRIALS

2023

TOOL FOR MONITORING/ OBSERVING POLICE DISCIPLINARY COURT TRIALS

2023

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Justice Access Point (JAP) acknowledges the financial support of the Open Society Foundation (OSF) that has enabled the development and production of the tool for monitoring/observing police disciplinary court trials in Uganda. JAP recognizes the contribution of its staff including Mohammed Ndifuna (Executive Director), Zam Nalwoga (Director of programmes), Mugisha Emmanuel (Project Officer) and Timothy Namitego (Project Associate) for their contribution in the development of the tool for monitoring/observing police disciplinary court trials in Uganda.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	MENT	
FOREWORD		.4
INTRODUCTION	I	. 5
	IITORING/OBSERVING POLICE COURT TRIALS	. 6
SECTION 1.0:	GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS	.6
SECTION 2.0:	DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS	.6
SECTION 3.0:	THE COURT, CASE, AND JUDICIAL OFFICERS	8
SECTION 4.0:	PROCEDURES FOR CONDUCTING COURT	.8
SECTION 5.0:	PUBLIC HEARING	.9
SECTION 6.0:	THE PRESSUMPTION OF INNOCENCE	10
SECTION 7.0:	OBJECTIVENESS AND COMPREHENSIVE EVALUATION OF EVIDENCE BY COURT	11
SECTION 8.0:	DEFENCE OF ONESELF IN PERSON OR THROUGH COUNSEL	11
SECTION 9.0:	PRESENCE AT TRIAL	11
SECTION 10.0:	REASONED JUDGMENT	12
SECTION 11:	PROMPT APPEARANCE BEFORE COURT	12
SECTION 12.0:	INDEPENDENCE & IMPARTIALITY OF THE COURT	12
REFERENCES		14

FOREWORD

Justice Access Point (JAP) is pleased to be part of this most crucial effort to enhance transparency and accountability in the handling of cases involving police officers (defaulters) with support from Open Society Foundation (OSF), JAP lies championed the design of this tool. The tool will be utilized by the different stakeholders to monitor/observe police disciplinary court trials in Uganda We hope this tool will be a valuable resource and useful to contributing to strengthening of civilian oversight and accountability of the security sector in Uganda.

INTRODUCTION

The tool for monitoring/observing police disciplinary court trials in Uganda will be utilized by many stakeholders to gather data on the trials of the police officers (defaulters) in the police disciplinary Courts. The tool contains of twelve (12) sections including the demographic characteristics of both the monitor and defaulter; the court, case, and judicial officers; procedures for conducting court; public hearing; the presumption of innocence; objectiveness and comprehensive evaluation of evidence by court; defence of oneself in person or through counsel; presence at trial; reasoned judgment; prompt appearance before court; independence & impartiality of the court.

TOOL FOR MONITORING/OBSERVING POLICE DISCIPLINARY COURT TRIALS

SECTION 1.0: GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS.

The user is required to make appropriate choices either on a nominal or Likert scale. The Likert scale used measures the extent to which the monitor agrees with the statement provided. (Strongly disagree = 1, Disagree = 2, Neither Disagree nor Agree = 3, Agree = 4, Strongly Agree = 5). Either way, the user should select and answer by ticking the most suitable box or provide information as may be required.

SECTION 2.0: DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

1.0 DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MONITOR		
1.1 Name of the monitor		
1.2 Age	■ 18-29■ 30-40■ 40-50■ 60 and above	
1.3 Gender	■ Male ■ Female ■ Other	
1.4 Education	PrimarySecondaryTertiaryUniversity	
1.5 Date and time of the observation	DD/MM/YY Time	
1.6 Other observers attending the court		

DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTE	RISTICS O	F THE DEFAULTER
2.1 Name and rank of the defaulter/s:	Name	Rank
		Senior officerMiddle Range officerRank and File
2.2 Gender of the defaulter/s:	□ Male □	■ Female ■Others
2.3 Age of the defaulter/s:	□ 18-29 □ 30-40 □ 40-50 □ 60 and	
2.4 Description of legal qualification of the alleged offence committed by the defaulter/s under the code of conduct as alleged by the prosecution		
2.5 Source of the complaint		faulter(s) supervisor sional Standards Unit (specify)
2.6 Physical condition of the defendant	☐ Torture☐ Sick ar☐ Good s	
2.7 Defaulter's Police Unit of attachment	Crimina Field F Traffic Fire Operat Genera Others	ions al duties

SECTION 3.0: THE COURT,	CASE, AND	JUDICIAL OFFICERS
3.1 Name of the court where the case is tried:	□ Police c □ Police h □ Regiona	outhority Court ouncil appeal court eadquarter Standby court I police disciplinary court nate police disciplinary
3.2 Name and rank of the Chairperson and members of the bench hearing the case:	Chairper- son	Rank Members of the bench
		■ Senior officer ■ Gazetted Officers ■ NCOs ■ Constables ■ All Members
3.3 Name and rank of the prosecutor:	1 1 1 1	■3 ■ 4 ■5
3.4 Name of Member of the bench	Members o	f Rank
3.5 Name and contact detai	 1 2 3 4 5 	■ Senior officer ■ Gazetted Officers ■ NCOs ■ Constables ■ All Members
defence council	15 (11 ally) OI	

SECTION 4.0: PROCEDURES FOR CONDUCTING COURT

Note: Please leave the correct answer and or write your additional remarks in the answer boxes; Mark N/A in the box if given question is not applicable to the hearing.

4.1 The manner in which the bench and prosecution handled the case/s was in line with established standards of fair trial	 Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
4.2 What aspects of fair trial were violated during the court process? Select any or all that apply.	 □ Independence and Impartiality during the court process □ Presumption of innocence □ Information on the nature of the offence and cause of the accusation □ Trial with undue delay □ Examination of witness □ Assistance with an interpreter □ Presence of the accused at the trial □ Not compelling the accused to testify against themselves or confess guilt
4.3 Were there any concerns raised by the defendants about the court process? If yes, what were the concerns?	□ Yes □ No
SECTION 5.0: PUBLIC HEA	
5.1 The court conducted its business publicly	 □ Strongly disagree □ Disagree □ Neither disagree nor agree □ Agree □ Strongly agree

5.2 Were there any barriers/restrictions to accessing court hearing? If YES, indicate how you gained access to the court premise	 □ Permission from IGP □ Permission from the RPC □ Permission from DPC □ Permission from Chairperson of the court □ Registered as visitor and entered the court room □ Yes □ No
5.3 In the event the court decided to try the case in a closed hearing, what were the legal grounds for the decision?	

SECTION 6.0: THE PRESSUMPTION OF INNOCENCE

Note: For the questions pertaining to information on defendant's rights, specify whether the responses were provided orally and in writing, whether explanation was given in a simple, full and understandable manner and whether the defendants age, maturity level, mental and physical conditions were considered

6.1 The manner in which the bench and prosecution discharged their role upheld the principle of presumption of innocence 6.2 Based on your	 □ Strongly disagree □ Disagree □ Neither disagree nor agree □ Agree □ Strongly agree
assessment, clearly indicate how the defaulter/s right to be presumed innocent while before court were upheld or abused	

SECTION 7.0: OBJECTIVENESS AND COMPREHENSIVE EVALU-ATION OF EVIDENCE BY COURT **7.1** The prosecution and ■ Strongly disagree ■ Disagree the bench undertook a ■ Neither disagree nor agree comprehensive evaluation ■ Agree of evidence against the ■ Strongly agree defendant during court process 7.2 Please indicate whether any evidence was rejected and for what reasons SECTION 8.0: DEFENCE OF ONESELF IN PERSON OR **THROUGH COUNSEL** 8.1 The defendant had ■ Strongly disagree Disagree the opportunity to defend ■ Neither agree or disagree oneself or through his/her Agree counsel ■ Strongly agree 8.2 In the event the defendant had legal representation, state how it happened and how he acquired the counsel. **SECTION 9.0: PRESENCE AT TRIAL** 9.1 Satisfactory ■ Strongly disagree ■ Disagree arrangements were made ■ Neither agree or disagree to produce the defaulter in ■ Agree court ■ Strongly agree 9.2 In which form did the ■ Physical ■ Technology mediated

defaulter/s appear in court

the court process?

9.3 In case the defaulter was absent, state circumstances under which it happened	
SECTION 10.0: REASONED	JUDGMENT
10.1 The defaulter/s was accorded reasoned judgement	□ Strongly disagree□ Disagree□ Neither disagree or agree□ Agree□ Strongly agree
10.2 In what format was the ruling delivered	☐ Orally ☐ Written ☐ Both
SECTION 11: PROMPT APP	EARANCE BEFORE COURT
11.1 The defaulter was brought promptly before court to defend himself/ herself	□ Strongly disagree□ Disagree□ Neither disagree or agree□ Agree□ Strongly agree
11.2 For how long has the police officer been on bond	 24 hours 48 hours 7 days 14 days Others (specify)
11.3 How long did it take for the defendant to be brought before court from the time of arrest?	 24 hours 48 hours 7 days 14 days Others (specify)
	CE & IMPARTIALITY OF THE COURT
12.1 The court was independent and impartial in all proceedings observed	 Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree

12.2 Specify whether the following relate to any of the former prosecutor, legal representative, or member of court	 ■ A former prosecutor or legal representative sits or has sat as member of court in the case in which he/she prosecuted or represented a party in the case ■ A member of court secretely participated in the investigation of the case ■ A member of court has a connection with the case or a party to the case ■ A member of court sits as a member of an appeal tribunal in a case which he/she decided or participatted in a lower judicial court.
12.3 State the sanctions applied by court to the defendant (s). Select any or all that apply.	 Dismissal Discharge since the police officer has ceased to be or is likely to become inefficient Demotion or reduction in rank Stoppage, withholding or deferment of increment in salary scale. Fine to the defaulter Recovery of costs for loss or damage caused Confinement to residence or barracks. Severe reprimand Reprimand
12.4 Opening and closing time of the hearing:	Opening time

REFERENCES

- 1. Human Rights in the Administration of Justice: A Manual on Human Rights for Judges, Prosecutors and Lawyers
- 2. Trial Monitoring: A Reference Manual for Practitioners ODIHR: Revised edition 2012



- JUSTICE ACCESS POINT
 P. O. Box 9235 Kampala, Uganda
- +256 703 384 055 +256 706 341 749
- @ justiceacesspoint@gmail.com
- https://jap.or.ug
- in https://www.linkedin.com/company/justice-access-point-jap
- https://www.facebook.com/justiceaccesspoint
- **L** @JusticeP